## JACOB LUHN

NSF Graduate Research Fellow Penn State University

# An Astrophysically-Motivated Predictor of Stellar RV Jitter

Jason Wright, Angie Wolfgang, Howard Isaacson, Andrew Howard







jluhn@psu.edu

Special thanks to Fabienne Bastien





## RVS IN THE TRANSIT ERA

Radial velocities are crucial for transit follow-up: - planet confirmation/rejection

- mass (RV) + radius (transit) = planet densities

## Between survey programs and follow-up, RV facilities can't keep up!





## STELLAR RADIAL VELOCITY JITTER

In short, RV variations induced by stellar variability

Magnetically driven

star spots/faculae

flares

 $\bullet$   $\bullet$   $\bullet$ 

#### RV jitter increases with activity

Saar et al. (1998), Santos et al. (2000), Wright (2005), Isaacson & Fischer (2010)...among others

**Convection driven** 

granulation

oscillations

#### RV jitter increases with convection

Wright et al. (2005), Dumusque et al. (2011), Bastien et al. (2014)







Luhn et al. (2020a)



#### **Activity-dominated**

Active stars pile up toward high logg (~ZAMS)

#### **Convection-dominated**

Inactive stars increase with evolution





## RV JITTER TRACKS STELLAR EVOLUTION



1. Star is born, active and jittery 2. Spins down, decrease in activity/jitter

3. Falls to "jitter minimum"

4. Gradual increase from convection





## JITTER MINIMUM DEPENDS ON MASS



More massive stars hit jitter minimum at later evolutionary stages

- Massive stars evolve more quickly
- Stars above the Kraft break only spin down after they gain a convective envelope in the SG phase

![](_page_7_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_6.jpeg)

## DISTINGUISHING LOW JITTER F STARS

Insight: high jitter stars will be either active or evolved

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

## DISTINGUISHING LOW JITTER F STARS

#### Insight: high jitter stars will be either active or evolved

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

## A SIMPLE JITTER PREDICTOR

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

Stars with j' < 1.5 $log(\sigma_{RV}) = a \times j' + b$ a = 0.24978b = 0.53587

Median percent error: 27%

Wright (2005) predictor is good to a factor of ~2

![](_page_10_Picture_5.jpeg)

## A SIMPLE JITTER PREDICTOR

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

Stars with j' < 1.5 $log(\sigma_{RV}) = a \times j' + b$ a = 0.24978b = 0.53587

Median percent error: 27%

Wright (2005) predictor is good to a factor of ~2

![](_page_11_Picture_5.jpeg)

### JITTER PREDICTOR: HIERARCHICAL BAYESIAN MODEL

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

### $\log F_i = \eta_1 \log R'_{HK,i} + \kappa_1 \log M_i + c_1$

 $\log G_i = \gamma_2 \log T_{eff,i} + \beta_2 \log g_i + \alpha_2 \log R_i + c_2$  $\log H_i = \gamma_3 \log T_{eff,i} + \beta_3 \log g_i + \alpha_3 \log R_i + c_3$ 

Luhn et al. (2020c, in prep.)

Priors on hyperparameters for G and H come from scaling relations in Luhn et al. (2020a), based on Kjeldsen & Bedding (2011)

![](_page_12_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

Resolved oscillations match predicted amplitude of Kjeldsen & Bedding (2011)

Priors on hyperparameters for G and H come from scaling relations in Luhn et al. (2020a), based on Kjeldsen & Bedding (2011)

![](_page_13_Figure_5.jpeg)

### JITTER PREDICTOR: HIERARCHICAL BAYESIAN MODEL

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

### $\log F_i = \eta_1 \log R'_{HK,i} + \kappa_1 \log M_i + c_1$

 $\log G_i = \gamma_2 \log T_{eff,i} + \beta_2 \log g_i + \alpha_2 \log R_i + c_2$  $\log H_i = \gamma_3 \log T_{eff,i} + \beta_3 \log g_i + \alpha_3 \log R_i + c_3$ 

Luhn et al. (2020c, in prep.)

Priors on hyperparameters for G and H come from scaling relations in Luhn et al. (2020a), based on Kjeldsen & Bedding (2011)

> With this model we can easily incorporate the stellar uncertainties into the fit

![](_page_14_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

 $J_{true,i} = J_{floor,i} \times (S_i + 1)$ 

Luhn et al. (2020c, in prep.)

## $S_i \sim \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$

## JITTER PREDICTOR: HIERARCHICAL BAYESIAN MODEL Fitting the Jitter Floor

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

## $J_{true,i} = J_{floor,i} \times (S_i + 1)$

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_6.jpeg)

## JITTER PREDICTOR: HIERARCHICAL BAYESIAN MODEL Fitting Results

Luhn et al. (2020c, in prep.)

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

This is our ability to fit with this model...

Fit

![](_page_17_Figure_6.jpeg)

we want to predict

![](_page_17_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_10.jpeg)

### JITTER PREDICTOR: HIERARCHICAL BAYESIAN MODEL

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

Sample from the best fit posterior using the input stellar parameters to predict RV jitter

This gives a probabilistic RV jitter with uncertainty coming from:

- hyperparameter uncertainties
- stellar uncertainties
- scatter above the floor

![](_page_18_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_11.jpeg)

## JITTER PREDICTOR: HIERARCHICAL BAYESIAN MODEL Predicting Results

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Predicted

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_6.jpeg)

## JITTER PREDICTOR: HIERARCHICAL BAYESIAN MODEL Predicting Results

Luhn et al. (2020c, in prep.)

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

Can predict jitter to 20-25% error for most stars!

### Models all stars in sample!

Models individual components of RV jitter!

![](_page_20_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

### A public Python package to allow users to predict RV jitter ...coming soon

- Allows for user-input stellar parameter PDFs

- Returns individual components of RV jitter for the astrophysical floor

- Modeling instrumental uncertainty allows translating to other instruments

![](_page_21_Picture_8.jpeg)

## CONCLUSIONS

RV jitter evolves from activity- to convection-dominated

Low jitter (< ~5m/s) F stars exist and can be distinguished

The F star "jitter metric" works for other stellar types!

We are building a tool to predict jitter for a wide range of stars!

By modeling individual components of RV jitter we can inform:

- target selection & prioritization

- observation strategies (mitigating individual components)

Luhn et al. (2020a) Luhn et al. (2020b) Jacob Luhn jluhn@psu.edu 🈏 @jacobkluhn

![](_page_22_Picture_10.jpeg)

- More massive stars reach their "jitter minimum" at later evolutionary stages

Luhn et al. (2020c, in prep.)