



In-Space Assembled Telescope (iSAT) Study

Study Initial Conditions and Assumptions

- **Activity 1a:** Design and architect a modularizable 20 m UV/O/NIR space telescope

Document authors:

Nick Siegler, NASA ExEP, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology

Harley Thronson, NASA PCOS/COR, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center

Rudra Mukherjee, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology

Study Initial Conditions

(Activity 1a)

1. **Modularized telescope design enables both exoplanet science and general astrophysics.**
2. **20-meter, filled-aperture, non-cryogenic telescope operating at UV/V/NIR**
 - *We will examine parameterized designs so that we can also explore smaller apertures*
3. **Off-axis secondary mirror, $f/(\geq 2)$ to assist coronagraph throughput, polarization, and performance**
 - *Can diverge from this condition if clear benefits to telescope modularization and therefore in-space assembly are shown*
4. **A high-contrast coronagraph will be an observatory instrument tasked to directly image and spectrally characterize exoplanets**
 - *The coronagraph will have the capability to actively sense and control input light wavefront errors due to all reasonable disturbance sources.*
5. **Operational environment destination is Sun-Earth L2**

Study Assumptions

1. The Observatory must provide the stability requirements associated with coronagraphy of exo-planets

- *These are expected to be on order of 10s of pm wavefront error stability over time periods of ~ 10 minutes.*
- *At the end of the telescope modularization activity (Activity 1a) we may assess what would have been the impact if the coronagraph was not assumed but rather a starshade. A starshade would significantly reduce the stability requirements on the telescope as well as eliminate almost all of the active optics. In Kepner-Tregoe speak, this is an Opportunity.*

2. Astronaut- and robotic-enabled assembly/servicing is available

3. ISS is available until 2028 (TBD)

4. The following missions can be assumed but each with their own specific capability and schedule risk:

- a. DARPA's RSGS (Robotic Servicing & Geosynchronous Satellites) at GEO (contract with SSL already in place)
- b. NASA's Lunar-Orbital Platform - Gateway at cis-Lunar
- c. Orbital-ATK's Mission Extension Vehicle (MEV) at GEO (contracts in place)
- d. NASA's Restore-L at LEO