Considerations Between Coronagraph Robustness and Telescope Stability

Laurent Pueyo, STScl

Starlight Suppression Workshop, Pasadena, August 8 th 2023.

Example of JWST coronagraphs

NIRCam and MIRI observe exo solar systems with similar orbital scales

At 11 microns coronagraph needs to operate "closer" in units of wavelength/aperture.

2-4 microns: robust coronagraph.

10-15 microns: less robust coronagraph.















JWST Wavefront Monitoring & Maintenance, Cycle 1

JWST is more stable than requirements.

Frequency and amplitude of tilt events getting smaller.

Line of sight jitter more than 5 times better than requirements.





JWST is more stable than requirements.

Frequency and amplitude of tilt events getting smaller.

Line of sight jitter more than 5 times better than requirements.



What does it means for exoplanet observations?

HR8799 b c d JWST/NIRCam, 4 $\mu{\rm m}$

W. Balmer (JHU) G. Bryden (JPL) & the NIRCam GTO Team

JWST GTO 1194

HR8799 e (brighter planets removed)

- -40 -30
- -20
- -10

S





Hab Worlds will be the first mission for which interface between coronagraph and observatory will be key to meet science requirements.

Hab Worlds will be the second mission to fly Deformable Mirrors with a high contrast coronagraph (after Roman). Those mirrors can be used to maintain exoplanet detectability while telescope "alignment" varies.

We need to formalize this interplay between observatory stability, coronagraph masks and Deformable Mirrors.





An example more relevant to Hab Worlds.



Sensitivity to exoplanets decreases once we take into account stellar angular size



The number of options to pick from also decreases!





Risk-cost

Science



So how do we go about this?

Change in contrast due to thermal drifts LUVOIR-A APLC





Difference between Open loop and closed loop.



Shorten the time scales of wavefront drifts from a science exposure time (~10 hours) to a wavefront sensing exposure time (minutes, seconds, milliseconds?).

$$T_{exp}$$

Scaling laws for stability requirements in open loop

d_{0I} (S/N)**Desired planet SNR**

Pueyo + (2022), Pogoreluyk +(2022)



Raw contrast



Scaling laws for stability requirements in closed loop

Desired planet SNR

 d_{CL}

Sensing efficiency

Pueyo + (2022), Pogoreluyk +(2022)









Devil is in the details... how do we get rid of the "~"?

Same calculation with realistic simulations





Same calculation with realistic simulations



10 milli-seconds exposure

LO modes, 5 mag star

Relative Contribution of each mode.

LO modes requirements with LOWFS

Mag 10 star, < 20 nm/sec, $t_{WFS} > 0.03 \text{ sec}$.

 10^{-12} t

 10^{-13}

 10^{-11} ,

 10^{-7}

 10^{-8}

 10^{-9}

 \triangleleft 10⁻¹⁰ -

contrast

LO modes, 10 mag star

Relative Contribution of each mode.

MID modes requirements with MIDWFS

Mag 0 star, <15 pm/sec, $t_{WFS} > 0.5$ sec. Mag 5 star, <2 pm/sec, $t_{WFS} > 20$ sec. Mag 10 star, < 0.5 pm/sec, $t_{WFS} > 200$ sec.

 10^{-5} 10^{-6} 10^{-7} contrast 10^{-8} \triangleleft 10^{-9} - 10^{-10} t 10^{-11} 10^{-2} MID modes, **5** mag star

Representation of segment level errors

Segment Level 1mk gradient radial

Segment Level 1mk Faceplates Silvered

Segment Level 1mk gradiant radia

Segment Level 1mk gradient X latera

Segment Level 1mk gradient Z axial

Segment Level 1mk Faceplates Silvered

-20

We find that thermal drifts requirements are of ~5 mK over timescales of 10s of seconds to minutes (depending on architecture)

Sahoo + (2022)

Recursive wavefront sensing Relative Contribution of each 10^{-6} mode.

Mag 5 star, < 25 pm/sec, $t_{WFS} > 0.1$ sec. Mag 10 star, < 8 pm/sec, $t_{WFS} > 0.1$ sec.

 10^{-11} :

 10^{-7}

 10^{-8}

 10^{-9} -

 10^{-12} c

MID modes, 10 mag star

Changing the coronagraph and telescope

Relative Contribution of each mode.

MID modes requirements

LUVOIR A $5\lambda/D$, < 15 pm/sec, $t_{WFS} > 10$ sec. LUVOIR A $3\lambda/D$, < 0.9 pm/sec, $t_{WFS} > 100$ sec. LUVOIR B, < 20 pm/sec, $t_{WFS} > 1$ sec. 10^{-8} -

10⁻⁹ - 01

 10^{-11} -

 10^{-12} ;

MID modes with DH, batch no noise, 5 mag star

Main takeaway from initial - albeit very incompleteanalysis:

- The scaling factor in front of requirement equation depends on
- 1) wavefront sensing architecture
- coronagraph robustness 2)
- 3) telescope geometry (e.g. number of segments)

Next we will have to verify these calculation with laboratory data.

Static contrast (broadband)

PAPLC: monochromatic 2e-8 (2-13 lambda/D), 8e-9 (5-13 lambda/D)

Correction of high order errors with low photon counts

Raw un-binned HiCAT coron image

Binned noisy coron image for EKF

Correction of high order errors with low photon counts

Conclusion

The scaling factor in front of requirement equation depends on

- 1) wavefront sensing architecture
- 2) coronagraph robustness, this impacts yield!
- 3) telescope geometry (eg number of segments)

We need to develop a technology maturation plan that will:

 Measure coronagraph robustness and validate models in open loop (this might be hard).
And/or verify if closed loop performances match model predictions.

tecture ess, this impacts yield! number of segments)