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- Karl Stapelfeldt (ExEP): motivation for this target list (5 min)
- Eric Mamajek (ExEP) with details on construction of the list (20 min)
- Jessie Christiansen (NExScI) on the target table now available at NExScI (5-10 min)
- Anjali Tripathi (ExEP) discussing science engagement with list (5-10 min)
- Your questions & open discussion
ExEP Target List for Precursor & Preparatory Science

- Astro 2020 recommended a future IR/O/UV direct imaging mission and its approximate scope ("Habitable Worlds Observatory")
- Community can start work towards improving our knowledge of the stars that will likely be targets for fulfilling the Decadal goal to search for biosignatures from a robust # of ~25 potentially habitable planets (~100 cumulative habitable zones [HZs] surveyed)
- Challenge: Can we generate a provisional list to get to ~100 cumulative HZs in an architecture-agnostic way?
Motivation for this target list

- Characterization of exoplanet host stars and their circumstellar environments is specifically called for (e.g. ROSES Precursor Science Gap #2; ExEP Science Gaps SCI-07 and SCI-10).
- Astro2020 has been specific enough about the ExoEarth direct imaging mission that it is now possible to identify the nearby stars where HZ rocky planets would be accessible for spectroscopy.
- The 6m HWO is sufficiently different from the HabEx and LUVOIR-B mission concepts that its own specific target list would be needed.
- Previous work (e.g. RV surveys, companion searches, stellar activity surveys) has covered only some of the targets due to the lack of a specific target list that the community can work from.
- ExEP already developed an extensive database on nearby stars to support the 2019-2021 Extreme Precision Radial Velocity Working Group (EPRV WG), and this could be readily adapted and expanded upon for HWO targets.
- Analysis takes independent approach from previous yield simulations that informed HabEx & LUVOIR target lists.
What the ExEP list and table IS and IS NOT

- The list **IS** intended to be a preliminary target list of stars that our criteria show have a high probability of surviving vetting and eventually being among the ~100 best HWO hab zone survey targets
- The list **IS** intended to motivate community science investigations:
  - “precursor science” to inform HWO design and
  - “preparatory science” to enhance HWO science return.
- The list **IS** timely given the new ROSES element [D.16 Astrophysics Decadal Survey Precursor Science](#)
- The list and table **ARE** a first version, and will be updated when needed
  - given the feedback so far, an update within a year seems warranted
What the ExEP list and table IS and IS NOT

- The list **IS NOT** the *final* targets for HWO hab zone survey, which likely won’t fly until the early 2040s! Future teams will need to decide that.
- The list **IS NOT** exhaustive for all targets for which HWO will likely to be able to detect+spectrally characterize other planet types (e.g. larger planets).
- The list **IS NOT** the sole input catalog for yield codes like ExoSIMS, AYSO, etc. They work with larger star catalogs complete out to larger distances (although the new table may be helpful for updating stellar parameters for a subset of stars in these larger input catalogs)
There will be surprisingly little flexibility in the choice of targets!

We have limited numbers of stars with the brightness and proximity required that could have exo-Earths with accessible

- brightnesses,
- planet-star brightness ratios, and
- angular separations

We need carefully vetted stellar samples and parameters (esp. luminosities), photometry, binarity (resolved & spectroscopic)

We can check against previous efforts (target lists from LUVOIR & HabEx studies, EPRV Working Group report). Approximately ~10% stars missing from previous lists, and many study targets were unrecognized close binaries.
Adopted target parameters for exo-Earths

- Consistent with the LUVOIR and HabEx studies, we adopted
  - Conservative habitable zone 0.95-1.67 AU, scaled by Luminosity$^{1/2}$
  - Geometric albedo of 0.2 independent of wavelength
  - Lambertian phase function
- Estimated brightnesses of hypothetical exo-Earths in Rc band (~550-800 nm):
  - More favorable to late-type stars than using V band
  - Includes wavelength of the O$_2$ A band, a key spectral diagnostic
  - For 6m aperture, 18% throughput, R=70, S/N=10, and max spectroscopy integration time of 60 days, Rc$\approx$ 31 mag is the threshold for useful spectroscopy.
  - These choices tend to be optimistic and thus are inclusive of more targets.
- Minimum planet-star brightness ratios and planet brightnesses
  - **Tier A**: $>$4e-11 ($\Delta$mag=26), Rc < 30.5
  - **Tier B**: $>$4e-11 ($\Delta$mag=26), Rc < 31
  - **Tier C**: $>$2.5e-11 ($\Delta$mag=26.5), Rc < 31
Planet location and illumination

- The fiducial case of a $1 \text{ R}_E$ planet observed at the Earth equivalent insolation distance (near inner edge of HZ) and quadrature illumination (phase = $90^\circ$) is not sufficient to define all the stars where HZ rocky planets may be detectable
  - Larger rocky planets, or planets seen at gibbous phase, will be brighter and more detectable than the fiducial case
  - Planets in the middle or outer HZ will be better-separated from the star and potentially more detectable than the fiducial case
- We consider 12 test cases of planet location, size, and illumination phase, and only select targets for our list if the planet meets our criteria in at least 6 cases:
  - Planet radii of $1.0 \text{ R}_E$ “Earths” & $1.4 \text{ R}_E$ “Super-Earths”,
  - Planets observed at two phase angles: $90^\circ$ and $63^\circ$ “gibbous”,
  - Separations at:
    - Earth-equivalent installation distance ($1\text{au}^*$),
    - middle of hab zone ($1.3\text{au}^*$),
    - usable outer HZ limit ($1.55\text{au}^*$) * = scaled by $\sqrt{L_{\text{star}}/L_{\text{sun}}}$
Relevant equations and test planet cases

C = Planet-star brightness ratio

\[ C = \frac{F_p}{F_*} = \phi(\alpha) \left( \frac{R_p}{r} \right)^2 \]

\[ \phi(\alpha) = \frac{\sin \alpha + (\pi - \alpha) \cos \alpha}{\pi} \]

\[ \Delta \text{mag} = -2.5 \log \frac{F_p}{F_*} = -2.5 \log C \]

\[ \text{mag}_p = \text{mag}_* + \Delta \text{mag} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case #</th>
<th>Phase Angle</th>
<th>Orbital Radius</th>
<th>Planet Radius</th>
<th>Delta(mag)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>1.00 au</td>
<td>1.0 Re</td>
<td>0.00 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>1.00 au</td>
<td>1.4 Re</td>
<td>-0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>1.31 au</td>
<td>1.0 Re</td>
<td>+0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>1.31 au</td>
<td>1.4 Re</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>1.55 au</td>
<td>1.0 Re</td>
<td>+0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>1.55 au</td>
<td>1.4 Re</td>
<td>+0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>63.3°</td>
<td>1.00 au</td>
<td>1.0 Re</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>63.3°</td>
<td>1.00 au</td>
<td>1.4 Re</td>
<td>-1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>63.3°</td>
<td>1.31 au</td>
<td>1.0 Re</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>63.3°</td>
<td>1.31 au</td>
<td>1.4 Re</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>63.3°</td>
<td>1.55 au</td>
<td>1.0 Re</td>
<td>+0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>63.3°</td>
<td>1.55 au</td>
<td>1.4 Re</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Treatment of binaries

- Light from companion stars can complicate or prevent high contrast measurements around the primary target.
- A large fraction of nearby bright stars are in multiple systems. Using as many binaries as possible would ease requirements on the telescope and starlight suppression system.
- At wide enough separations, the PSF wings from the companion will fall below the dark hole contrast floor and be negligible. For a 6m HWO, companion separations > 10” (500 λ/D at V band) should fall in this regime over the full range of wavelengths. Tier A binary.
- At intermediate separations of 5-10”, the above should be true at short wavelengths but not necessarily at all wavelengths of interest. Tier B binary.
- At separations of 3-5”, special starlight suppression methods will likely be needed to enable work with these targets. Tier C binary.
- At separations < 3” (including spectroscopic binaries) stars are judged as unlikely to be doable and do not appear on the target list.
- A more rigorous treatment awaits specification of the HWO primary mirror surface quality, which determines the amount of companion spillover light.
Inner Working Angle

- **We do not derive the needed IWA from assumptions about the telescope or starlight suppression system**
- Instead we used the master star list and tested progressively smaller IWA values until our criteria produced a list that met Astro2020’s requirement to survey ~100 habitable zones. (72 mas; our Tiers A and B). A buffer of additional targets (Tier C) is provided by further reducing the IWA by 10% to 65 mas, and by being more permissive on target properties
- The inner working angles we derive are not tied to any specific wavelength; they must be satisfied at all wavelengths where spectroscopy is required
- It is up to HWO designers to decide what combination of aperture size, coronagraph architecture, and/or starshades should be used to provide the needed IWA performance
Input catalog of ~800 nearby stars from:

- LUVOIR & HabEx reports (which informed EPRV WG)
- SIMBAD and xHIP (Anderson & Francis 2012), selecting targets to increasing distance by spectral type until selection criteria were not met (<half of planet cases not detectable)
- In terms of distance limits of completeness, all stars were considered out to:
  - BAF *s: d < 25pc
  - G *s: d < 20pc
  - K *s: d < 12pc
  - M *s: d < 5pc & V or G < 10 mag

Additional more distant stars were considered (e.g. boundary cases, stellar companions), but input list was not complete past those limits.
Planet-star brightness ratios become challenging!
(HZ further out, planets reflecting less of star’s light)

Planets too faint!
(usually multiple effects out here)

Dashed line defines Luminosity dist combination that corresponds to middle of HZ equalling 70mas... NOT a hard selection limit, but shown to guide the eye

Why does the distribution of target stars look the way it does?

**Other issues:**

Stellar multiplicity
Large exoplanets in/near HZ
Disks / zodi background
Approx. magnitude & distance limits:

F*\text{s}: V < 6.0, d < 23.3 pc
G*\text{s}: V < 6.4, d < 20.5 pc
K*\text{s}: V < 7.0, d < 12.8 pc
M*\text{s}: V < 7.5, d < 4.0 pc

### Parameter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Tier A</th>
<th>Tier B</th>
<th>Tier C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IWA constraint</td>
<td>83 mas</td>
<td>72 mas</td>
<td>65 mas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exoplanet brightness limit (Rc)</td>
<td>30.5 mag</td>
<td>31.0 mag</td>
<td>31.0 mag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exoplanet-star Brightness ratio limit</td>
<td>4e-11</td>
<td>4e-11</td>
<td>2.5e-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disk criterion</td>
<td>No known dust disks of any kind</td>
<td>No disk, or KB disks OK if Ldisk/L* &lt;= 10^-4</td>
<td>All disks OK, even if Ldisk/L* &gt;= 10^-4 or detected HZ warm dust disk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment of binaries</td>
<td>Single or binary companion &gt; 10'' sep</td>
<td>Single or binary companion &gt; 5'' sep</td>
<td>Single or binary companion &gt; 3'' sep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Stars</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tier A</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier B</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier C</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (A+B+C)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data in table:

- **Star:** Designations, Parallax, distance, RA, Dec, Vmag, B-V color, Rc mag, spectral type, effective temperature (Teff), stellar luminosity, calculated stellar radius, calculated angular diameter, mass, metallicity, surface gravity (log g), Ca II H&K activity (log R'_HK), binary star separation and delta(mag), flags for disks and exoplanets

- **Calculated for hypothetical Earth twin:** Earth equivalent insolation distance (EEID) in au and angular separation (in mas), planet-star brightness ratio, predicted Rc mag, predicted orbital period, predicted RV amplitude, predicted astrometric amplitude
Appendix A: Provisional NASA ExEP Target Star List for Precursor and Preparatory Science for Habitable Worlds Observatory (2023)

Appendix B: HabEx and LUVOIR-B Target Stars That Were Not Included in the ExEP List

Appendix C: ExEP Target Stars That Were Not Included in HabEx or LUVOIR-B Lists

Appendix D: Problematic Targets like Binaries Requiring Further Analysis

Appendix E: Stars Previously Reported to be Binary but Likely Spurious

Appendix F: Table Column Descriptors and Notes
Spectroscopic parameters $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $[\text{Fe/H}]$ mostly from Soubiran+2022 PASTEL compendium. Masses from numerous literature sources.
ExEP Target List for Precursor & Preparatory Science

- Table of stars and related data and calculated quantities underwent peer review in Dec 2022 by subject matter experts (Rhonda Morgan, Josh Pepper, Aki Roberge, Dmitry Savransky, Chris Stark, Maggie Turnbull). Reviewers had good suggestions for additional data to add to future versions.
- Data table and documentation are now posted on ExEP Science website: https://exoplanets.nasa.gov/exep/science-overview/
- Long term home for table & documentation will be on NExScI web page: https://exoplanetarchive.ipac.caltech.edu/cgi-bin/TblView/nph-tblView?app=ExoTbs&config=DI_STARS_EXEP (or just search for “HWO ExEP Precursor Science Stars”)
- Plan to update the table and documentation periodically as knowledge of stars improves or survey. List may evolve as parameters(strategy evolves.)
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