

Starshade Edges- Design, Calibration and Performance

Stefan Martin, Stuart Shaklan, Dylan McKeithen, Evan Hilgemann,

Doug Lisman, David Webb

Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology Pasadena, CA

Presentation to SIP June 6th 2019 URS283459 clearance number CL#19-3056

Starshade Mechanical Architecture Overview



The technical data in this document is controlled under the U.S. Export Regulations, release to foreign persons may require an export authorization.

Outline of talk

Glint

- Starshade glint- introduction
- Starshade edge design
- Modeling edge scatter
 - Reflection
 - Diffraction
- Model versus measurements
- 'Stealth' edges
- Scatter measurement



Edges

- Starshade edge materials
- Edge construction and assembly
- Segment-level testing
- Preliminary results on segments*

Optical tests on edges are part of a plan to bring starshade edges at the segment level up to TRL5

TDEM-12 "Starshade Stray Light Mitigation through Edge Scatter Modeling and Sharp-Edge Materials Development", Casement et al., (on ExEP web site) and "Starshade design driven by stray light from edge scatter," Casement et al. Proc. SPIE 8442 (2012).

^{*}Note other work on starshade edges which will not be covered here. For example:

Model images of Tau Ceti with a perfect starshade

1x1 arcsec images of a 1e-9 and 1e-10 planet around Tau Ceti, observed with a perfect starshade. Model pixel size is 3 mas. Exozodi is 1 solar zodi, inclined 60 deg.

1e-9 contrast planet is at 200 mas (in x), with exozodi and solar glint.

1e-10 planet is at 120 mas (in y), with exozodi and solar glint The overall background is local zodi. Imager FOV is 9 arc sec diameter.



Images created using SISTER (Sergi Hildebrandt)

Model images of Tau Ceti with a real starshade

Tau Ceti observed with a 26 m diameter starshade at 26 Mm.



Starshade only- starshade radius is 100 mas

Target image (ph/day/15 nm/441 mas²) 0.5 700 0.4 600 0.3 500 0.2 0.1 arc second 400 0 300 -0.1 -0.2 200 -0.3 100 -0.4 -0.4 -0.3 -0.2 -0.1 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 arc second

1e-9 planet in quadrature at 200 mas





Models of glinting from the starshade edges



Model based on a measured edge



Starshade edge design

- Edge has a small radius at the tip.
- Edge tapers away from the line of sight to the telescope
 - Limits area illuminated by sunlight
- For a sharp edge the solar scatter is dominated by diffraction
- For a rounded edge, the solar scatter is driven by the radius of curvature (ROC) and the reflectivity





Scatter modeling- Geometric



- Two modes of geometric scatter- diffuse and specular
- In diffuse reflection limiting the whole illuminated area scatters light towards the telescope (and elsewhere)
- In specular reflection only a limited area reflects light towards the telescope.
- To get the full picture, this has been modeled in three dimensions.



Scatter modeling- Diffraction

- Diffraction akin to specular in that it is highly directional
- Arnold Sommerfeld's (1895) total field solution for diffraction from a semi-infinite conducting sheet.
- Light from the leading edge is dominated by the S polarization (electric vector aligned with edge)
- Light from the trailing edge is dominated by the P polarization (electric vector perpendicular to edge)







Model uses data from scatterometer for Gillette razor blade, amorphous metal blades would be expected to be similar. In this model, sections of the edge making an angle within +/-9.2 degrees of normal to the sun angle are eliminated, effectively becoming perfectly dark. So this is a best possible case.

The residual scatter peak is a factor of ~14 less than the standard edge (about 2.9 magnitudes fainter).

Average power from edge scatter in the two cases is 1.4 10⁻¹⁹ W/m² and 2.7 10⁻²⁰ W/m², so a factor of 5.2 less for stealth (about 1.8 magnitudes fainter).

Drawback- starshade cannot rotate- makes thermal management of the shade harder.



Scatter model

- Trailing edge assumed shaded
- S-diffraction dominates
- P-diffraction very weak; 5x less than S-diffraction at 40°
- S-specular is weak, but has a linear dependence on the edge ROC
 - So, at larger angles it may dominate S-diffraction
- Plot also shows lab data for S and P scatter with good agreement to model



Model and experimental data for 0.2 micron radius edge with 61% reflectivity





Sample edges: scanning electron microscope images







Measured scatter: Pyrolitic Graphite



Starshade image

Pyrolitic graphite edge





12



Measured scatter: Shaving razor blade scan of θ and ϕ

1

45

40

100

Theta

200

0



Very narrow angle range

p-polarized

s-polarized

Trailing edge diffraction:

edge not self-shading

Starshade image

Shaving razor blade





Trailing edges are not shaded



NASA

Starshade Edges

Motivation

- Razor blades in scatterometer showed in-spec performance
- Sharp, specular edges are preferred.
- Require curved rather than straight edges.

Chemical etching of metal sheet

- Can meet in-plane profile requirements via a photoresist process
- Inherently leaves beveled edge

Material Choice

- Many materials explored
- Amorphous metals (metal glasses) produce durable edges with small grain size
- Small grain boundaries in amorphous metal results in an even and smooth edge of <1 μm radius
 - Large scale deformation due to release of internal strain leads to integration challenges

Amorphous Metal





Stainless Steel

Starshade edge manufacture





Starshade edge segment construction

1. Place AM on vacuum table, measure with MicroVu.



2. Screed epoxy (blue) onto Substrate (black), clean up edges



3. Place substrate on AM. Clean up squeeze out.



4. Apply load to fixture. Clean up squeeze out.



5. Cure for 3 days at room temp.



6. Remove assembly and re-measure



7. Remove excess AM



8. Place assembly on structural edge alignment fixture



9. Screed epoxy onto structural edge



10. Place structural edge on assembly using tooling. Clean up squeeze out.



11. Apply load to fixture. Clean up squeeze out.

12. Cure for 3 days at room temp. Post cure at 100C for 2 hrs.







Single Angle Scatterometer



Features:

- Autofocus
- Automatic vertical centration
- Follows the direction of the edge based on design data and live measurements
- Views and measures 1 mm long sections
- Saves all images
- Uses calibration coupon to relate segment scatter to regular scatterometer measurements
- GUI allows return to measurement points
- Has a separate imaging function for viewing any suspect areas of the edge (not shown)





SAS vs Multi-Angle Scatterometer (MAS)

MAS captures all the light from the edge. SAS captures a selected region, representative of the edge.



Circular Aperture Captures 95.3% of the available light



SAS vs. MAS





Circular Aperture Captures 60.2% of the available light

Edge segment environmental testing

- So far:
 - Bend and release teststowed to deployed configuration
 - Deployed thermocycling: +40 to -100 C
- Next steps:
 - Stowed thermocycling +40 to -50 C (TBR)
 - Creep testing





Summary



- Starshade solar scatter can be limited by creating sharp, specular edges
- The solar scatter is then dominated by diffraction and arises from particular regions on the shade.
- Stealth edges can mitigate this but require a starshade that does not rotate.
- The Scatterometer was developed to measure edge scatter in a system that can relate lab measurements to space.
- Scattering models were developed and shown to agree well with measurements

NASA

Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology

Edge segments

- Etching is used to produce long, precisely shaped sharp-edged foils.
- These foils are then assembled into segments which will make up the starshade edge
- A single angle scatterometer (SAS) has been developed to measure the entire edge of each segment.
- Data from the SAS can be calibrated against reference data from the MAS.
- Tests now in progress show highly reproducible results for segments subjected to environmental testing.
- These segments show negligible change in scatter performance after testing

The information herein is provided for planning and discussion purposes only. This work was conducted at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Copyright 2019 California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged. All rights reserved.