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Exo-C Study
Context for Exo-C Study

- Flagship mission for spectroscopy of ExoEarths requires $10^{-10}$ contrast (> 10,000 times beyond HST performance) and aperture size > 4 m. A big step financially and technologically.

- A smaller mission for spectroscopy of giant exoplanets and imaging of disks requires $10^{-9}$ broadband contrast (already demonstrated in lab) and ~1.5 m telescope. More affordable, intermediate technology step. *Concept endorsed by Astro2010 EOS panel*

- There is a rich heritage for the concept: proposed by various PIs a dozen times since 1999, but there was no programmatic niche for it

- Kepler finds that mini-Neptunes are very common, but the nature of their atmospheres is a mystery. New characterization opportunity for a probe-scale mission.
“The (EOS) panel did evaluate, and found appealing, several “probe-class” concepts employing ~1.5-m primary mirrors and internal star-light suppression systems, often coronagraphs with advanced wavefront control. Each was judged to be technically feasible after completion of a several year technology development program, and could cost significantly less than a precision astrometry mission like SIM Lite. Such a mission could image about a dozen known (RV) giant planets and search hundreds of other nearby stars for giant planets. Importantly, it could also measure the distribution and amount of exozodiacal disk emission to levels below that in our own solar system (1 zodi) and detect super-Earth planets in the habitable zones of up to two dozen nearby stars. These would be extremely important steps, both technically and scientifically, toward a mission that could find and characterize an Earth-twin.”

Science frontier discovery areas:

Identification and characterization of nearby habitable exoplanets
How diverse are planetary systems?
How do circumstellar disks evolve and form planetary systems?

“… a critical element of the committee’s exoplanet strategy is to continue to build the inventory of planetary systems around specific nearby stars”
• **Indirect detections:** RV surveys have detected long period planets $\gtrsim$ Saturn mass, 1 AU planets down to Neptune mass. GAIA detects short-period Jupiters. Target lists for spectra.

• **Transits:** TESS has extended Kepler results to brighter stars, defining planet mass-radius relationship. JWST+ELTs get transmission spectra for some of these. Target list for outer planet imaging searches.

• **Exoplanet Direct Imaging:** Ground AO has obtained spectra of dozens of young/massive planets in near-IR thermal emission. Likely contrast limit of $\sim 10^{-8}$ set by atmospheric turbulence. JWST images cold/wide giant planets around M stars (contrast $\sim 10^{-6}$).

• **Disk Imaging:** ALMA redefines knowledge of protoplanetary disks, but cannot map tenuous debris disks at subarcsec resolution. Ground AO imaging polarimetry of brighter disks.
• Obtain optical spectra of nearest RV planets: Measure gas absorbers, fix planet mass.
• Search for planets beyond RV limits (Neptunes, super-Earths) in a nearby star sample. Measure orbits, do spectroscopy of the brightest ones
  — alpha Centauri system is a very important case
• Image circumstellar disks beyond HST, AO, and ALMA limits
  — Resolve structures driven by planetary perturbations, including in nearest habitable zones
  — Time evolution of disk structure & dust properties from protoplanetary to debris disks
• Probe a few systems for exo-Earths, if telescope stability and exozodi are favorable
## Current Working Science Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Requirement Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary diameter</td>
<td>&gt; 1.3 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncontrolled speckle contrast</td>
<td>1e-09 raw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast stability over 48 hours</td>
<td>1e-10; defines faintest detections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectral coverage</td>
<td>450–1000 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner Working Angle 2 $\lambda/D$</td>
<td>0.22 arcsec @ 800 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer Working Angle &gt; 20 $\lambda/D$</td>
<td>&gt; 2 arcsec @ 800 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spillover light from binary companion</td>
<td>$\leq 1e-9 @ 8$ arcsec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectral resolution $\lambda &lt; 600$ nm</td>
<td>$R &gt; 25$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectral resolution $\lambda &gt; 600$ nm</td>
<td>$R &gt; 70$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astrometric precision</td>
<td>$&lt; 30$ milliarcsec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fields of view</td>
<td>1 arcmin imager, &gt; 2 arcsec IFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission lifetime</td>
<td>3 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Accessible exoplanet targets

Points are known RV planets

⊕ Earth analog in nearby star HZ

Contrast ≥ 1e-9
3e-10 ≤ Contrast < 1e-9
Contrast < 3e-10

Vertical lines show IWA at 500 and 800 nm
Exo-C Planet Search Space

In V band filter in maximum of 10 days integration, 0.75 years total search time
• **Planet characterizations:**
  – Measure spectra of \( \sim 20 \) exoplanets
  – Measure multi-color photometry of an additional \( \sim 20 \) exoplanets

• **Planet discovery surveys:**
  – Survey 20 nearby stars for super-Earths in the HZ
  – Survey 140 nearby stars for giant planets

• **Disk Imaging Surveys:**
  – Deep search for disks in 60 RV planet systems
  – 60 known/resolved debris disks within 40 pc
  – 100 young debris disks from WISE
  – 80 protoplanetary disks in nearby molecular clouds

*A wide range of science, containing characterizations and surveys*
**Exo-C Baseline Overview**

- Highly stable Earth-trailing orbit for three year science mission
- Coronagraphs with $\sim 2 \lambda/D$ inner working angle
- Two stage vibration isolation for science payload
- Bright science target star is used as a reference for precision pointing and compensation of low order wavefront drifts
- Commercial Kepler-like spacecraft bus, Intermediate class launch vehicle
Engineering Trades Completed

- Unobscured cassegrain telescope with lateral instrument bench configuration
- Telescope aperture has a 1.5m primary with Ultra-Low Expansion glass
- Hybrid Lyot, Vector Vortex, and PIAA coronagraphs carried forward with Integral Field Spectrograph
- Zernike Low-Order Wavefront Sensor
Subsystem Description

- Outer Barrel
- Solar Array
- Inner Barrel
- Secondary Mirror
- Instrument Bench
- Primary Mirror
- Primary Support Structure
- PL Avionics
- Radiator Panel
- Star Tracker
- Isolation Struts
- Spacecraft Bus
  - SC Avionics
  - Antenna
  - Thrusters
  - LV interface Ring

Dimensions:
- 7.6m height
- 2.8m width
A robust pointing architecture that leverages flight proven technologies.

### Key features of the pointing system:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fine Guidance Sensor</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High bandwidth Fast Steering Mechanism</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced ACS using Fine Guidance Signal</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive Isolation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Disturbance Earth trailing orbit</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High stiffness observatory (no deployables/articulations)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Five architectures were evaluated: Hybrid Lyot, PIAA, shaped pupil, vector vortex, and the visible nuller

• Realistic optical system models for each with wavefront control and telescope pointing errors

• Contrast maps and individual throughputs used to predict science yield for each. Three met science requirements and have path to readiness

• Summary evaluations result in Hybrid Lyot as baseline. Vector Vortex and PIAA remain options for second design cycle
Currently performing detailed structural analysis on entire payload

Modal and stress analysis of Inner Barrel with side-mounted instrument bench show material (Composite/Aluminum honeycomb panels) and dimensions are adequate

1\textsuperscript{st} mode frequency is 31 Hz
  (rocking of instrument on base)

Structurally stiffer than typical cassegrain telescope

Analyses Performed:
  • Normal Modes
  • 10G Quasi-Static
  • Buckling

Inner Barrel Finite Element Model with Instrument Bench Point Mass and Bipods
Detailed Thermal Analysis

• Results of 45 degree pitch maneuver

Primary mirror (active thermal control) changes less than 0.2°
• WF drift does not stress DM stroke or Low Order WaveFront Sensor (LOWFS) capture range.

• Slow wavefront drift rate reduces demands on LOWFS bandwidth.

• Only focus and astigmatism significantly contribute to contrast drift after about 5 hours. ($\Delta$Contrast $\propto$ WFE$^2$).

Thermal-mechanical design reduces drift to an easily manageable level.
Technology is Nearly Ready

- Exo-C bandwidth & contrast specs already met by Hybrid Lyot coronagraph; 2 $\lambda/D$ inner working angle requirement met by PIAA & Vortex coronagraphs
- Need to demonstrate all the above in a single instrument in the presence of dynamic pointing & wavefront errors → Low-order wavefront control.
- Exo-C technology is built on years of TPF & TDEM investments and is closely aligned with planned AFTA coronagraph investments and demonstrations.

48x48 Xinecs deformable mirror has been shake tested

HCIT Lab contrast demonstration

JPL High Contrast Imaging Testbed

[Diagram showing various components of the testbed, including DM driver, Pinhole source, Deformable mirror, Focal plane coronagraph mask selector, OAP1, OAP2, OAP3, OAP4, OAP5, OAP6, Lyot stop selection wheel, and CCD.]
EXO-C Design Team preliminary cost estimate is less than the $1B Charter requirement

• Over 80% of the pre-reserve estimate comes from objective models and Kepler actual costs:
  – Instrument cost: NASA Instrument Cost Model v5 (NICM)
  – Telescope cost: Luedtke and Stahl model
    • published in SPIE’s Optical Engineering in 2012 and 2013
  – Science, ground system, operations and most of the spacecraft costs came from Kepler actual costs
    • Kepler is the best analogue because it has:
      – a 1.4m primary telescope
      – same orbit and planned mission life
      – same s/c design (except for 2-stage passive isolation hardware)
      – same ground system design
      – and similarly focused exoplanet science.

• Kepler ~$700M (FY15) through first 3 years on orbit
• Exo-C adds 30% reserve on top of these estimates
• Plan to iterate CATE
  – ExEP Study Office has a task with the Aerospace CATE team for 3 estimates over the next year
  – The Design Team is holding meetings with the CATE team to review key design issues in detail
Next steps in the study

- Detailed modeling of pointing control loop and beam walk effects on achieved contrast
- Extend performance analysis of the three coronagraph architectures to include telescope dynamics and low order wavefront control
- Assessment of spacecraft stability lessons learned from Kepler
- Submit Cost And Technical Evaluation inputs to Aerospace Corp. and respond with design changes if necessary (late spring 2014).

Evaluate performance, cost, risk.
Exo-C uses an internal coronagraph with precision wavefront control to conduct high contrast imaging at visible wavelengths.

Exo-C’s science goals are to:
- Spectrally characterize at least a dozen RV planets
- Search >100 nearby stars at multiple epochs for planets down to $\sim3\times10^{-10}$ contrast. Characterize mini-Neptunes, search the $\alpha$ Centauri system.
- Image hundreds of circumstellar disks

A baseline design is in place and will continue to be refined in 2014. Exo-C’s aperture, orbit, spacecraft, & lifetime are very similar to those of the Kepler mission, which is our cost reference.

Exo-C is a technology pathfinder for a future New Worlds mission.
• New strategic mission expected to start in FY17. It will be AFTA/WFIRST if budget allows. If not, need less expensive “probe” mission options as backups. Three to choose from: WFIRST, and 2 exoplanet.

• Probe mission terms:
  – Cost ~ $1B
  – Technical readiness (TRL 5) by 2017
  – Launch in 2024

• Exo-C is an 18 month HQ-funded study of an internal coronagraph probe mission
  – Science & Technology Definition Team (STDT) selected May 2013. Previous competitors now working together.
  – Engineering Design Team in place at JPL, July 2013
  – Interim report for March 2014; final report due January 2015
Accessible RV Planets vs. Aperture Size

Known RV planets vs. 2 $\lambda / D$ @ $\lambda = 0.8$ $\mu$m

Cumulative number

Planet elongation (arcsec)

- All planets
- Planets $V \leq 29$
8.5” separation in 2025, increasing to 10.5” in 2028.

CONTROL OF SPILLOVER LIGHT:

- Coronagraph mask concepts to block both stars and accommodate the variable separation
- Primary mirror surface quality specifications at 100 cycles/aperture
- Agile dark hole using deformable mirrors
- Careful baffling and control of internal reflections
### Preliminary DRM / expected science yield

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Science Type</th>
<th>No. of targets</th>
<th>Ave No. of visits</th>
<th>N_target</th>
<th>N_visit</th>
<th>t_I</th>
<th>T_Obs</th>
<th>T_M</th>
<th>T_Obs/T_M</th>
<th>Total Mission Time</th>
<th>Observatio n efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Planet characterizations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectroscopy of Known Exoplanets (known from RV, AO, and exo-C survey)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td>167</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi color photometry of Known Exoplanets (known from RV, AO, and exo-C survey)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Planet discovery surveys</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey nearby stars for super-Earths within the habitable zone</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search for giant planets around nearby stars</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>350</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disk Imaging Surveys</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection survey in RV planet systems</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known debris disks within 40 pc</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young debris disks from WISE</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nearby protoplanetary disks</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total on-orbit ops time</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>723</td>
<td>1045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial On-Orbit Checkout (days)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (days)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1105</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• High contrast science on post-main sequence stars, AGN/quasars, ...
• Imaging camera will have 1 arcmin FOV with small filter set; IFS will have \(~ 2''\) FOV
• Camera and IFS could be used without coronagraphic spots
• Pointing performance for targets other than bright stars is still TBD. Support for moving targets conceivable but not in baseline cost.
• Not currently planning for UV capability (cost)
• A second instrument could be accommodated in terms of payload mass/volume, but not in terms of cost
### Exo-C Design Trades Completed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trade</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Telescope obscured vs. non-obscured</td>
<td>Unobscured aka “off-axis”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telescope design</td>
<td>Cassegrain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telescope material: Glass vs. silicon carbide (SiC)</td>
<td>Ultra-Low Expansion (ULE) glass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbit</td>
<td>Earth-training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aperture size</td>
<td>1.5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-gain antenna (HGA)</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolators: between reaction wheel assembly (RWA) and spacecraft, and again between spacecraft and payload</td>
<td>Two passive layers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deformable mirrors</td>
<td>Two 48 × 48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrument configuration: Lateral vs. behind primary mirror</td>
<td>Lateral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission design</td>
<td>Baseline configuration in §6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-order wavefront sensor (LOWFS) design</td>
<td>Zernike WFS, spectral splitting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spacecraft bus</td>
<td>Kepler type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar array configuration</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission lifetime</td>
<td>3 years, consumables for 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pointing architecture</td>
<td>Isolation, flight management system (FMS), payload, and spacecraft interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrometer architecture</td>
<td>Integrated field spectrometer (IFS): details of design pending (# of lenslets, spectral resolution, detector)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telescope stability—thermal architecture</td>
<td>Inner barrel w/ heater control, outer barrel, and primary mirror heater control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary mirror configuration</td>
<td>Actuated secondary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telescope metering structure configuration</td>
<td>Integrated with inner barrel assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrument architecture</td>
<td>Coronagraph, imaging camera, IFS, fine-guidance sensor (FGS),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronagraph architecture – Step 1 completed</td>
<td>Hybrid Lyot, Vector Vortex, and PIAA carried forward for second trade analysis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Probe studies are directed to be based on a Phase A start at the beginning of FY17, project PDR in FY19 and a launch no later than 12/31/2024. The schedules includes funded schedule reserves per JPL Design Principles.